

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/21 (10-96)

Approved for use through 10/31/99. OMB 0651-0031

This form is a Replica of PTO/SB/21 (10-96)

Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number



TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	09/318,073
Filing Date	May 25, 1999
First Named Inventor	Zhu et al
Group Art Unit	2823
Examiner Name	Zhu et al
Docket Number	B10-25561US

Total Number of Pages in This Submission 3

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

- ☐ Fee Transmittal Form
- ☐ Fee Attached
- ☒ Amendment / Response
- ☐ After Final
- ☐ Extension of Time Request
- ☐ Express Abandonment Request
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement
- ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
- ☐ Response to Missing Parts/
- ☐ Incomplete Application
- ☐ Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

- ☐ Assignment Papers (for an Application)
- ☐ Drawing(s)
- ☐ Licensing-related Papers
- ☐ Petition Checklist and Accompanying Petition
- ☐ To Convert a Provisional Application
- ☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address
- ☐ Terminal Disclaimer

- ☐ After Allowance Communication to group
- ☐ Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
- ☐ Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
- ☐ Proprietary Information
- ☐ Status Letter
- ☐ Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below):

RECEIVED

Remarks

OCT 25 2000
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name
Kris T. Fredrick

Signature

Date

10-16-00

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope address to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date:

Type or printed name
Karen Houle

Signature

Date

10-16-00

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE

Minneapolis, Minnesota
October 16, 2000

#7
10-26-00
C. [Signature]

Applicant - Zhu et al.

Group - 2823

Serial No. - 09/318,073

Examiner - Abbott, B.

Filed - 5/25/99

Atty Docket No. - B10-25561-US

For - Local Shielding for Memory Cells

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2000

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Dear Sir or Madam:

This is responsive to the Office Action of October 3, 2000 in which the Examiner indicated that claims 1-34 are subject to a restriction or election requirement.

In response to the restriction requirement, the applicants provisionally elect Group I with traverse. The restriction requirement is submitted to be improper because the claims of Groups II are not "distinct" from the claims of Group I as the Examiner states. Although not officially stated in the Office Action, the Applicants assume the Examiner intended to include Claim 23 in Group II.

In particular, the claims of Group I are drawn to an apparatus. The claims of Group II are drawn to a method for making the apparatus(es) in Group I.

The Examiner's comment about the product as claimed which "can be made by a materially different process such as one in which there is no cavity formed in the insulating layer" does not apply to all claims in Group II. Specifically, claims 23-29 as filed, do not contain any language concerning a cavity formed in an insulating layer. The remaining claims in Group II are merely alternative embodiments of the present

invention, and are therefore correctly claimed. Thus, restriction to the groups identified by the Examiner should not be required.

In view of the foregoing arguments, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to document a viable alternative process or product, or withdraw the restriction or election requirement in accordance with MPEP §806.05(f).

Respectfully submitted,
Zhu et al.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kris T. Fredrick", followed by a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Kris T. Fredrick, Attorney
Reg.No. 42,554
Honeywell International Inc.
101 Columbia Road
P.O. Box 2245
Morristown, NJ 07962-2245
612/951-6029